

Date: Mon, 6 Sep 93 04:30:15 PDT
From: Ham-Policy Mailing List and Newsgroup <ham-policy@ucsd.edu>
Errors-To: Ham-Policy-Errors@UCSD.Edu
Reply-To: Ham-Policy@UCSD.Edu
Precedence: Bulk
Subject: Ham-Policy Digest V93 #324
To: Ham-Policy

Ham-Policy Digest Mon, 6 Sep 93 Volume 93 : Issue 324

Today's Topics:

 Codeless Technician (6 msgs)
 Credit for Extra on Commercial License?
 RIFF RAFF

Send Replies or notes for publication to: <Ham-Policy@UCSD.Edu>
Send subscription requests to: <Ham-Policy-REQUEST@UCSD.Edu>
Problems you can't solve otherwise to brian@ucsd.edu.

Archives of past issues of the Ham-Policy Digest are available
(by FTP only) from UCSD.Edu in directory "mailarchives/ham-policy".

We trust that readers are intelligent enough to realize that all text
herein consists of personal comments and does not represent the official
policies or positions of any party. Your mileage may vary. So there.

Date: Sun, 5 Sep 1993 16:20:28 GMT
From: swrinde!emory!wa4mei!ke4zv!gary@network.ucsd.edu
Subject: Codeless Technician
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

In article <75TB0B1w165w@amanda.jpunix.com> robert@amanda.jpunix.com (robert)
writes:

>If those who choose not to learn Morse already have access to
>Amateur Radio (via the Codeless Technician), why debate?
>As Bobby McFerrin said, "Don't worry, be happy." Of course,
>if you're NOT happy with the current state of things, the
>FCC is still accepting licenses sent in for cancellation.

More to the point, they are also still accepting petitions
for rulemaking.

Gary

--

Gary Coffman KE4ZV | "If 10% is good enough | gatech!wa4mei!ke4zv!gary

Destructive Testing Systems | for Jesus, it's good | uunet!rsiatl!ke4zv!gary
534 Shannon Way | enough for Uncle Sam." | emory!kd4nc!ke4zv!gary
Lawrenceville, GA 30244 | -Ray Stevens |

Date: 5 Sep 93 13:55:38
From: dog.ee.lbl.gov!agate!howland.reston.ans.net!sol.ctr.columbia.edu!
news.cs.columbia.edu!popovich@network.ucsd.edu
Subject: Codeless Technician
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

>>if you're NOT happy with the current state of things, the
>>FCC is still accepting licenses sent in for cancellation.
>
>More to the point, they are also still accepting petitions
>for rulemaking.

Shudder...isn't asking the FCC to make more rules sort of like trying
to make a deal with the Devil? You never know just what you're going
to get, just that there's always a "Gotcha!" waiting for you
somewhere. "Hi, I'm from the Government, and I'm here to help
you...now, bend over...this won't hurt...much (heh, heh)!" Just
remember that, no matter how bad things are now, the Government
can always make them worse.

-Steve

Date: Sun, 05 Sep 93 16:59:19 CDT
From: usc!elroy.jpl.nasa.gov!swrinde!menudo.uh.edu!jpunix!unkaphaed!amanda!
robert@network.ucsd.edu
Subject: Codeless Technician
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

popovich@cs.columbia.edu (Steve Popovich) writes:

> >>if you're NOT happy with the current state of things, the
> >>FCC is still accepting licenses sent in for cancellation.
> >
> >More to the point, they are also still accepting petitions
> >for rulemaking.
>
> Shudder...isn't asking the FCC to make more rules sort of like trying
> to make a deal with the Devil? You never know just what you're going
> to get, just that there's always a "Gotcha!" waiting for you
> somewhere. "Hi, I'm from the Government, and I'm here to help
> you...now, bend over...this won't hurt...much (heh, heh)!" Just

> remember that, no matter how bad things are now, the Government
> can always make them worse.
> -Steve

Please, stop whining. It's not the fault of the FCC that a significant number of amateurs (and wanna-be amateurs) can't acquire (or increase) their Morse skills. In fact, one even referred to it as "Morris." What a sad commentary on the educational ability of the Codeless Technician.

--Robert

Date: 5 Sep 93 20:31:48
From: swrinde!elroy.jpl.nasa.gov!avdms8.msfc.nasa.gov!sol.ctr.columbia.edu!news.cs.columbia.edu!popovich@network.ucsd.edu
Subject: Codeless Technician
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

>Please, stop whining. It's not the fault of the FCC that a
>significant number of amateurs (and wanna-be amateurs) can't acquire
>(or increase) their Morse skills. In fact, one even referred to it as
>"Morris." What a sad commentary on the educational ability of the
>Codeless Technician.

What, me whine? Certainly not about the amateur exams. I've had my hazing quota already, thanks. :-) As a matter of fact, most of my HF operating is CW, but that doesn't mean that I think of it as the sine qua non of Amateur Radio. The next WARC oughtta punt the treaty requirement, and the FCC should follow suit ASAP, and remove mode-restricted subbands and the ridiculous license class hierarchy while they're at it.

And as far as Morris is concerned, that's simply a joke mutation of Morse that some people tend to use on the net. It stopped being funny long ago, but that won't stop the people who use it. Besides, CW DX pileups do sound a bit like a late-night cat chorus...

-Steve, WB3I

Who has at one time or another held each of the present
license classes, EXCEPT for Technician!

Date: 6 Sep 93 00:58:39 GMT
From: news-mail-gateway@ucsd.edu
Subject: Codeless Technician

To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

>>>if you're NOT happy with the current state of things, the
>>>FCC is still accepting licenses sent in for cancellation.
>>
>>More to the point, they are also still accepting petitions
>>for rulemaking.
>
>Shudder...isn't asking the FCC to make more rules sort of like trying
>to make a deal with the Devil? You never know just what you're going
>to get, just that there's always a "Gotcha!" waiting for you

A Petition for Rulemaking may request that existing rules be rescinded.

This is not a game for the unresourceful.

-Harris.

Date: Mon, 6 Sep 93 01:19:25 GMT
From: usc!howland.reston.ans.net!spool.mu.edu!news.cs.indiana.edu!
noose.ecn.purdue.edu!en.ecn.purdue.edu!cronin@network.ucsd.edu
Subject: Codeless Technician
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

In article <k0BF0B1w165w@amanda.jpunix.com> robert@amanda.jpunix.com (robert)
writes:

>
>Please, stop whining. It's not the fault of the FCC that a
>significant number of amateurs (and wanna-be amateurs) can't acquire
>(or increase) their Morse skills. In fact, one even referred to it as
>"Morris." What a sad commentary on the educational ability of the
>Codeless Technician.
>

Amazing. I haven't even taken the test for the no-code license, and
already people are forming opinions on my 'educational ability', when
they have never met me, talked to me, or even heard about me! Truly,
Robert must be psychic.

Tom C.

--

*** OREGON CITIZENS ALLIANCE is an anagram for ELECT NICE NAZI LIAR GOONS ***
Thomas Cronin | "Extinction is not something to comtenplate;
cronin@ecn.purdue.edu | rather, it is something to rebel against."

fester@sonata.cc.purdue.edu | from _The_Fate_of_the_Earth_ by J. Schell

Date: 5 Sep 93 15:33:25 EST
From: usc!howland.reston.ans.net!math.ohio-state.edu!pacific.mps.ohio-state.edu!
ohstpy.mps.ohio-state.edu!miavx1!miavx3.mid.muohio.edu!clmorgan@network.ucsd.edu
Subject: Credit for Extra on Commercial License?
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

In article <rcrw90-010993134155@node_142cf.aieg.mot.com>, rcrw90@email.mot.com
(Mike Waters) writes:

> I have heard a rumor that the FCC is starting to give credit for the Extra
> class CW test on the 2nd class Radiotelegraph test. There is supposed to
> be some mention of this in the current CQ magazine.

>
> Can anyone enlighten me?

>
> --

> Mike Waters rcrw90@email.mot.com AA4MW@KC7Y.PHX.AZ.US.NA

Yup, that's what I read in a recent W5YI Report. After all the years of
accepting NOTHING from the ham exams, 'tis ironic that it'd be the 20WPM
code test!

Good news, though!

73 >< Carl
K8NHE

Date: Mon, 6 Sep 93 03:15:33 GMT
From: news!dg-rtp!webo!dg-webo.webo.dg.com!abackett@uunet.uu.net
Subject: RIFF RAFF
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

In article <265p3e\$i80@ornews.intel.com> zardo@ornews.intel.com (Jim Garver)
writes:

>
>When all the RiffRaff are gone from ham radio, who will be left?

>--

Me and you, but I'm starting to wonder about you..;-<)

73-al

Did I drop my .sig in the coffee??? Al Brackett
N1IQQ @ KA1RCI.RI (for those that know) abackett@dg-webo.webo.dg.com

Pray for peace / Plan for conflict
[insert] Standard Disclamer Here< > BLESS / PEACE

Date: Mon, 6 Sep 1993 02:49:36 GMT
From: mentor.cc.purdue.edu!sage.cc.purdue.edu!blumb@purdue.edu
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

References <POPOVICH.93Sep5135538@prince.cs.columbia.edu>,
<k0BF0B1w165w@amanda.jpunix.com>, <1993Sep6.011925.14091@en.ecn.purdue.edu>
Subject : Re: Codeless Technician

.ecn.purdue.edu (Fester the Penguin) writes:
>robert@amanda.jpunix.com (robert) writes:
>>Please, stop whining. It's not the fault of the FCC that a
>>significant number of amateurs (and wanna-be amateurs) can't acquire
>>(or increase) their Morse skills. In fact, one even referred to it as
>>"Morris." What a sad commentary on the educational ability of the
>>Codeless Technician.
>
>Amazing. I haven't even taken the test for the no-code license, and
>already people are forming opinions on my 'educational ability', when
>they have never met me, talked to me, or even heard about me! Truly,
>Robert must be psychic.
>Tom C.

Geez. Heaven forbid some people actually wanting to get into the hobby a
little easier. The code tests scare a lot of people away....
(Heck, people like Robert scare a lot of people away from the hobby..)

-bill
-taking elements 1a, 2, and 3a for sure on 10/2, and studying in order to
give 1b and 3b a shot, too...

(Here's hoping I get a N9xxx call before they run out...)

--
Bill Blum blumb@sage.cc.purdue.edu Purdue University, W. Lafayette, IN

End of Ham-Policy Digest V93 #324
